

1 GOVERNMENT ROLES

1.1 PRIME MINISTER PM-5min,2min

1. Define the terms, appropriate background and an outline of Government proposition. This outlines the necessary terms of reference for the debate.
2. Provide a structure for the Government Side, and present several of the arguments with specific substantive examples as evidence.
3. Reference what the MC will be bringing forward in continuance of the Government Case.
4. Summarize the arguments presented and the Government's stance in the debate.

REBUTTAL

1. Reaffirm Government stance in the debate.
2. Attack Opposition arguments and rebuild any damaged Government arguments.
3. Point out any contradictions between the Opposition speeches.
4. Summarize exactly what the strengths are of the Government stance in this debate.

1.2 MINISTER OF THE CROWN MC-5min

1. Reaffirm Government definitions and stance in the debate.
2. Following roles in some order
 - (a) Rebuild all points introduced by PM.
 - (b) Further Government case by introducing additional point(s).
 - (c) Refute any points introduced by MO as well as Opposition philosophy.
3. Summarize exactly why Government stance is preferable.
4. Put pressure on LO, 'handcuffing' the member to his MO and placing the Opposition on the defensive.

2 OPPOSITION ROLES

2.1 MEMBER OF OPPOSITION MO-5min

1. Examine the definition and stance of Government to illustrate clear understanding.
2. Any challenges to definitions or terms must be made in this speech.
3. Attack case in any or all of following methods
 - (a) Does the problem really exist?
 - (b) Does the solution address the problem?
 - (c) Are there consequences that worsen the situation?
 - (d) Do the arguments support the solution?
 - (e) Are the philosophical foundations for the arguments consistent?
 - (f) Is there a better solution?
4. Clearly point out any flaws in PM's argumentation, including contradictions.
5. Provide Opposition's stance and advance lines of constructive argumentation.
6. Summarize exactly why Opposition stance is preferable.

2.2 LEADER OF OPPOSITION LO-7min

1. Analysis of how the debate has proceeded, highlighting major contentions.
2. Refute Government points in similar manner to MO, delving deeper into issues and addressing MC's advancement of Government stance.
3. Expose any inconsistencies between Government speeches.
4. Point out any MO arguments that were undressed.
5. Introduce additional arguments in favour of Opposition stance.
6. Dig deeper into central issue(s), taking debate to higher philosophical level.
7. Summarize debate in favour of Opposition stance, showing clearly why the Opposition should win.